IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

MONDAY, THE 27TH NOVEMBER 2006 / 6TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 30420 of 2006(U)

PETITIONER:

SHAJAN K.ALEX,
PRINCIPAL, DAIRY TRAINING CENTRE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.V.P.SUKUMAR
SRI.JAIRAM.V.MENON

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE DIRECTOR OF DAIRY DEVELOPMENT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIATE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. SMT. M.J.VALSAMMA,
SUBJECT MATTER SPECIALIST (ASST.DIRECTOR),
DAIRY TRAINING CENTRE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 27/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J

W.P.(C)NO. 30420 of 2006

Dated this the 27th day of November, 2006

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has suffered transfer from the post of Principal, Dairy Training Centre, Thiruvananthapuram pursuant to Ext.P1 G.O. dated 13.11.2006. Respondent No.3 who has been promoted from the post of subject matter Specialist (Assistant Director) has been posted as Principal which has to be vacated by the petitioner. He would contend that he has been transferred from Thiruvananthapuram to Kannur even before he had completed the minimum tenure in his native District and having regard to family problems, he would find it difficult to work in a distant place like Kannur.

2. Learned Government Pleader, on instructions, submits that respondent No.3 has been accommodated in the post of Principal, Dairy Training Centre, Thiruvananthapuram having regard to the difficulties expressed by her, though she is a promottee from the post of Subject Matter of Specialist. The first respondent has informed the learned Government Pleader that the petitioner's case will be considered favourably for a posting in Thiruvananthapuram in April 2008 by transferring the third respondent from the post of Principal, Dairy Training Centre, Thiruvananthapuram to the post of Assistant

Directors in Kottayam District which is her native place.

3. The above submission is recorded. The petitioner is free to file appropriate representation and produce a copy of this judgment before the competent authority in March 2007, so that his case can be considered, as stated by the first respondent. No further directions are necessary.

Writ Petition is disposed of as above.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE

css/